

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION
FEBRUARY 15, 2022

FINAL

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:06 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Council Member Lisa Watts Baskin
Council Member Natalie Gordon
Council Member Ted Knowlton
Council Member Stan Porter
Council Member Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Paul Ottoson, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Public Works Director; Janice Larsen, Finance Director; Mitch Gwilliam, Assistant Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Irene Stone, Dee Lalliss, residents; Keith Larson, Andrew McKinnon, Bowen Collins & Associates; Dwayne Rasmussen, Castlewood Development.

1. PRESENTATION OF DRAFT INFORMATION RELATED TO THE CITY'S
AMENDED WATER MASTER PLAN

Ken Leetham reported staff had been working on the water master plan for some time. Bowen Collins Engineers was hired to assist with updating the water and storm water master plans.

Keith Larson, Bowen Collins, said they would cover three items in this meeting including an overview of the master plan process, an annexation analysis, and a revenue and rate discussion. He spoke on the water master plan and asked for feedback from the City Council regarding the potential annexation. He said the well sustainability study was complete and a draft report would be provided this month. The conservation plan would be provided in March and the storm drain master plan was complete and would be delivered next week. The water and storm drain rate study would be refined after this meeting and could be ready within a month or two. He spoke on impact fees and said all of the master plans needed to be in place before these fees could be finalized by early summer.

Mr. Larson showed a map of the City, which showed the existing storm drain system with existing deficiencies.

Andrew McKinnon, Bowen Collins, reported on the annexation analysis and said from a storm drain perspective the developer would be responsible for installing storm drains. He spoke on culinary water impacts and said the annexation area would need to purchase Weber Basin water because the ground water in the City was at or above the sustainable water use right now. Future Weber Basin water would be more expensive than existing City contracts. Mr. McKinnon said the City had a little excess culinary water storage now that could be sold to the annexation area at buildout of the City. The City, with all the redevelopment plans, will have a 110,000-gallon deficit. He explained that the City could sell the excess storage now and require future developers build more storage later as redevelopment occurred, require developers to build storage now (which would require the purchase of land), or participate in a lifecycle replacement project for an existing water tank.

Andrew McKinnon reported on culinary water conveyance and said there were two significant pipelines the annexation system would need to construct to connect to the City's existing water system. He then spoke on secondary water impacts, which would not require as much from the City as they would need to build their own facilities, have their own water rights, bring their own storage, and provide their own conveyance and pump stations. Mr. McKinnon said based on the proposed annexation development they had already noted some deficiencies, but this would not affect the City's capital costs or rates. In terms of capital costs, the biggest issue would be the deficit in culinary water storage for the annexation area. Ongoing costs would include the higher cost to purchase Weber Basin water and if residents in the annexation area should be charged a higher fee or if there would be a single rate for all residents in the City (which would require a rate increase).

Mayor Horrocks said the developer of the proposed annexation claimed they had water rights from the Jordan River and asked if this had been factored into the report. Andrew McKinnon replied they did have water rights but it would only be sufficient for River Ranch and not Cross E Ranch. He clarified these existing water rights were only for secondary water.

Council Member Van Langeveld asked if this was a typical path for annexations and water rights or if it would be onerous. Andrew McKinnon responded it was not unusual and gave the example that in Salt Lake County those that annexed in had to pay a separate rate from Jordan Valley. He said the facilities that would be constructed for the annexation would not be onerous but the biggest challenge would be the culinary water storage.

Keith Larson said he was surprised that a major pipeline would not need to be installed to accommodate the annexation. He explained there were a few culinary connections but felt it was important for the developer to install those and cover the costs as it would directly benefit them.

Ken Leetham commented the City would have to purchase water at a much higher cost than the current water costs provided to residents so it would be a policy decision on whether the annexation residents would pay the costs or if all City residents covered the cost. He explained

how it cost more to provide water to current residents on the hillside but all City residents paid the same rates right now.

Andrew McKinnon spoke on the master plan and asked if the annexation area should be included in the master plan or as part of the appendix.

Mayor Horrocks commented the current annexation proposal would not go forward. He said the City might be open to other uses with less water needs. Andrew McKinnon replied that he would finalize the report without the annexation area and include in the appendix the aforementioned analysis.

Andrew McKinnon then focused on the rates relative to big picture planning issues and said the rate study process had three steps which included how much money the City needed and how to collect that money from residents. The first step was to establish revenue needs. He said the City was facing three challenges including aging infrastructure, ground water/aquifer at its max, and annexation and/or future growth which would result in additional demands on the system. Mr. McKinnon said the City's system was in excellent shape from a capacity standpoint. He reported over the last five years, the average system investment was over \$1 million a year and the estimated value of the system was \$240 million. The recommended investment range was between one and two percent for system facilities, which meant a 50-100 year replacement plan for the system.

Andrew McKinnon explained there were very few systems that would actually last 100 years so the recommended minimum system investment would be \$240 million multiplied by one percent or \$2.4 million per year. He said this was double what the City had spent and clarified that this was a point he wanted to impress upon the City. In terms of sustainably funding the water system, it was recommended that the City increase the total revenues in system investment, ground water scarcity, and system expansion. Mr. McKinnon presented a graph showing ten-year revenue and expenditures from 2019 to 2031. He explained that operation and maintenance costs were increasing due to inflation and system growth. Additionally, the graph showed fixed debt service costs, capital expenditures, recommended long term levels of funding, and projected income. It was recommended that by 2024 there would need to be a 40% increase in revenue to meet the long-term level of funding/increased revenues.

Andrew McKinnon said there were cash reserves available to fund capital projects for the next several years but the recommendation was a gradual increase over time to cover future costs.

Mayor Horrocks clarified that the recommendation was for the City to annually increase the water fees. Andrew McKinnon suggested a gradual increase at 10% per year for the next four or five years.

Andrew McKinnon asked if there were any concerns with the recommended funding level at \$2.4 million per year.

Council Member Porter mentioned the two more recently replaced sections to the water system and hoped that the newer designs would last longer than they originally had.

Mayor Horrocks asked if the analysis outlined the age of the system and the priority areas. Andrew McKinnon replied they would work with City staff to include this information in the report.

Council Member Baskin said there was concern with raising rates but there was a tangible resource that residents could understand, which was current infrastructure. She asked about the use of ARPA funding. Ken Leetham responded that ARPA funds could be used for water infrastructure. He said this study and the \$2.4 million funding increase recommendation identified course corrections and a clear idea of how to fund water service moving forward. The City would need to further analyze the use of ARPA funds, rates, facilities, and repairs. Mr. Leetham spoke on aging infrastructure including roads and how the City had increased the level of expenditure on road repairs, etc.

Mayor Horrocks said there was a plan for roads and the City needed a similar plan for the water system. Andrew McKinnon replied they would provide a ten-year plan that matched the needs with the rates provided by the City Council.

Council Member Gordon spoke on increased cost to pump water up to the east side of the City. She said there was a need for the raised rates to be equitable in both the increases and responsibility of costs to residents.

Andrew McKinnon presented a water rate comparison that included the City, Bountiful, Farmington, Layton, Ogden, and Salt Lake City. This chart detailed average monthly bill comparisons for light, average, and heavy users in the six cities. He then focused on the next step of the rate study process, which was conservation-oriented rate design considerations. Mr. McKinnon said there was an industry trend moving away from a water allowance included in the base rate and an adjustment to the tier structure to better encourage conservation. Currently the City charged a base rate of \$25.90 for $\frac{3}{4}$ " above 350 East and included 8,000 gallons of water. This meant that under the current approach a customer paid \$25.90 for up to 8,000 gallons and only paid for water use above that. The alternate plan and the current industry trends were for customers to pay for all water use starting at the first gallon. The benefit of the alternate plan was an incentive for conservation, and it could potentially reduce the cost for low water users.

Andrew McKinnon asked if the Council was in favor of the alternative approach, which would require residents to pay for all water use starting at the first gallon.

Council Members Knowlton, Gordon, and Baskin were in support of the alternative approach.

Mayor Horrocks was also in favor of an accelerated rate for heavy water users.

Council Member Gordon brought up the point that a heavy user on a small lot may pay as much as a low user on a large lot.

Andrew McKinnon showed water tier charts that provided comparison and normalized tier rates for the same six cities that were previously mentioned. These charts showed that the City was at the bottom of the tiered steps and was not charging enough for larger blocks of water. He said there was room for conservation potential by increasing the rates for larger blocks. Mr. McKinnon explained the advantages to the current approach included consistency and revenue stability but said that large properties and high-water users benefited from this setup. He commented with more aggressive blocks the advantages included a better alignment with cost of service and it encouraged conservation. Those who benefited from aggressive blocks included small properties, low water users, and indoor water use.

Andrew McKinnon asked if the City wanted to pursue a more aggressive rate structure to encourage conservation.

Council Member Knowlton commented conservation would be a benefit and with the alternate approach residents would be paying closer to the appropriate/actual water rates. Andrew McKinnon stated the closer residents were to paying the rates found in the cost-of-service envelope the closer the City was to matching the actual costs it took to provide water. The counter arguments were a change to what had always been and extreme conservation that may result in reduced revenue. He said they had not addressed the issue of large lot versus small lot.

Andrew McKinnon presented a graph showing the ten-year revenue and expenditures related to the storm drain system. He said the funding deficit was bigger on a percentage basis, but the current rates were only \$6 per month. There was a recommended \$950,000 funding increase or 110% increase in revenue. Mr. McKinnon also showed the storm water rate comparison of the six cities with the City currently charging the lowest rate.

Council Member Van Langeveld spoke on the cost of providing water service to the east side of the City and a potential two-tier water system. Ken Leetham replied as the City was going to comprehensively study the rates this was something to keep in mind. He also suggested that the City move forward with the aggressive tiered approach for the upcoming outdoor water season.

Council Van Langeveld asked if the cost of service could be standardized across the year for customers such as an equal pay plan. Janice Larsen replied there were many residents currently on equal pay so this would not be difficult to setup.

Council Member Baskin asked to review the full report. She also spoke on the groundwater aquifer and asked for specifics to be shown in the report as well. Andrew McKinnon responded this information would be provided to the Council.

Council Member Knowlton commented on obtaining the forecast for revenue and usage. Andrew McKinnon said the rates would be adjusted to show conservation based on the information provided by other cities.

2. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 7:08 p.m. to begin the Redevelopment Agency meeting.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION
FEBRUARY 1, 2022

FINAL

Mayor Horrocks called the meeting to order at 7:34 p.m. Council Member Stan Porter offered the invocation and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Council Member Lisa Watts Baskin
Council Member Natalie Gordon
Council Member Ted Knowlton
Council Member Stan Porter
Council Member Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Paul Ottoson, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Public Works Director; Janice Larsen, Finance Director; Mitch Gwilliam, Assistant Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Irene Stone, Dee Lalliss, residents; Jess Curtis, Jake Williams, Cody Andrew, Towne Plaza Development.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

Mayor Horrocks introduced Irene Stone as the new Planning Commissioner.

Irene Stone commented that she was very excited to serve on the Commission. She mentioned working for the Utah French Immersion team on the State Board of Education.

2. DISCUSSION ITEM: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TOWNE PLAZA GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN LOCATED AT 130 EAST CENTER STREET

Sherrie Pace reported the Towne Plaza project, located at 130 East Center Street, included townhomes and two office buildings. She showed the Towne Center Master Plan including the streetscape layout and said the RDA had shared construction costs in 130 East, and the right of way, as well as improvements on Center Street. Ms. Pace presented the approved site plan for Towne Plaza including the trees, parking, and architectural design. She explained that Jess Curtis, the son of Dave Curtis who was the developer for this project, had approached his father about acquiring the project. Jess Curtis was interested in constructing both office buildings but would like to increase them from two story to three stories and utilize the top two floors for

micro housing units (studio apartments) as well as adding a third three story building of micro housing units. This would add 104 units to the two sites with a proposal to add underground parking in the west building. The units would be similar to a hotel room layout with a small kitchen, bedroom area, bathroom, and living area. The proposed office and retail spaces would be reduced in size to fill a market need for small office spaces.

Sherrie Pace said the applicant was proposing to change the architectural style and showed an example of the design. The applicant would be willing to deed restrict 50% of the units to moderate income housing at the 80% area median income (AMI) level. She explained that the City needed 112 units in the 80% AMI range, 45 units in the 50% range, and 70 units in the 30% range. AMI was based on the number of individuals living in one household, which meant two individuals living in these units. To be at the 80% AMI level an individual could not make more than \$50,750 per year or \$58,000 for a two-person household. The maximum rent would be \$1,270 for one person or \$1,450 for a two-person household and include utilities.

Council Member Gordon commented the proposal was for 50% of the units to be deed restricted to \$1200 or less a month. She asked how much the rent would be for the other studio apartments.

Council Member Van Langeveld asked if these units would remain under control of the developer or if they would potentially be for individual sale. Sherrie Pace replied it would depend on the development agreement and the deed restriction. It could also depend on if the RDA participated in the cost as it met some of the affordable housing goals for the City. She said the project was ideally situated near the town center and transit. Ms. Pace recommended a parking study, commercial use study, and market rate of rent.

Council Member Baskin asked in regards to the retail component and if an anticipated use could be restaurants. Jess Curtis replied affirmatively and said two food service vendors had already approached them. He said they wanted retail there and would build to suit the tenant.

Mayor Horrocks commented a space like this would be ideal for a coffee shop as a restaurant would need a grease trap, etc. Jess Curtis responded he would be willing to establish a set space that could accommodate a larger scale restaurant.

Council Member Van Langeveld questioned the total number of units. Jess Curtis clarified that the current proposal was for 100 units at approximately 400 square feet each. He said the intention was to provide an affordable space that would meet a need and demand that was hard to find. Mr. Curtis said the units would have full kitchen plus shared amenities such as indoor seating areas and an outdoor fire pit, etc. He said they were also proposing a raised sidewalk and light posts similar to what had already been done on 130 East.

Council Member Van Langeveld asked if individual units would be sold. Jess Curtis replied that there were no plans to sell the units individually and he planned to retain ownership of the entire project.

Council Member Van Langeveld questioned the ability to have size restrictions on the units. Sherrie Pace responded the City could negotiate a development agreement that would specify unit sizes, amenities, and parking requirements. She said Mr. Curtis was just seeking general feedback tonight.

Council Member Knowlton asked how to ensure that the main floor would not be residential. He expressed his opinion in general this was a great project and these units made sense in this area.

Council Member Baskin commented she was on the Council when this project was initially brought forward and asked about the original development agreement. She said these buildings were to be completely office buildings. Sherrie Pace replied the development agreement specified two office buildings. Building one would have retail on the main floor with office on the second floor. Building two was to be completely office space. She said in order to facilitate a change the City and property owner would need to enter in an amendment to the agreement specifying new terms. Ms. Pace recommended if an amendment was executed then the City should require a financial analysis be completed and construction dates be set.

Council Member Baskin asked for clarification on what the amended plan would look like. Sherrie Pace responded buildings one and two would have office/retail on the first floor and the second and third floor would be the micro apartments. Then a new building three would be comprised of three floors of micro apartments. Building one would also have pedestal or underground parking.

Council Member Baskin questioned the vacancy rate for the area. Sherrie Pace replied that she was unsure.

Jake Williams, Towne Plaza Development, commented it was under 5% vacancy in the area.

Council Member Gordon asked about square footage in a \$1,400 apartment. Jake Williams replied this was not official data and they could provide an actual market study. He said generally a one-bedroom apartment was around \$1,400 per month. Mr. Williams commented these units would rent due to affordability and could even be rented for less and have it still be a viable project.

Council Member Gordon questioned if the developer would rent all of the units at \$1,400 with a deed restriction on some of the apartments. She said this did not seem like a deal or if it would fill the need for moderate income housing. Jake Williams commented all of units would be rented at around \$1,400.

Council Member Gordon said this was a great plan but suggested instead of 50 units at 80% AMI they offer 45 units at 50% or \$794 to \$906 per month. Jake Williams replied those numbers would not work without a subsidy due to land costs.

Jess Curtis said if RDA funds were available to assist with the 50% AMI they could review it.

Council Member Gordon spoke on the concern with taking retail space and building high density. She also expressed concern about finding housing to meet the 50% and 30% AMI needs. Jake Williams replied in his experience the 50% and 30% could not be met without some type of subsidy. He said their project was exciting because it did meet almost all of the City's 80% AMI requirement with no subsidy.

Ken Leetham commented the City had housing funds, which were generated in the two CDA project areas that were currently available. He said if the Council desired to make this project work, the City could help by partnering with the developer to convert some of the units to 50% AMI or assist with a subsidy while the developer pursued Federal tax credits. He expressed his opinion it would be a great time to participate due to the housing affordability crisis. Mr. Leetham said these units were the closest solution he had seen to housing affordability that had come forward in the City; therefore, some of the public dollars could be leveraged to assist.

Sherrie Pace spoke on the City's Edge project and said the City shared in 50% of burying the power line there and in exchange, several of the units were at the 80% AMI level for five years.

Council Member Porter said this project may be doable but wanted to hear from the Planning Commission and the public before proceeding any further.

Mayor Horrocks spoke on the original plan for the project including office space. Jess Curtis replied since the original agreement, his father was now unable to manage a large-scale project due to health issues; and also COVID had decreased the need for office space. He said housing was at an all-time high with a 46,000 unit deficit on the Wasatch Front.

Jess Curtis said they were financially viable and once they had an approved unit count and had completed the studies they would proceed with the loan.

Mayor Horrocks commented on potential parking issues if there was a restaurant. He said there was no question these units would lease. He suggested flipping the units back-to-back for fewer water connections. Mayor Horrocks asked if there would be elevators in the building and possible ADA issues. Jess Curtis replied that they would address ADA in the third building that would be entirely apartments.

3. CONSIDERATION OF DONATION TO SAFE HARBOR IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000

Ken Leetham reported the Safe Harbor Crisis Center had requested a donation of \$10,000. This organization provided services which addressed domestic violence in Davis County. The City's police department worked closely with Safe Harbor staff and victims of domestic violence to facilitate solutions for those who needed assistance. These services were provided at no cost to the City and its residents. He said the executive director, Kristen Floyd, had explained that their federal funding had been reduced.

Mitch Gwilliam commented he did not know if other cities would be participating in funding. He said the Safe Harbor Crisis Center provided a substantial service to the City with over 100 residents directly benefiting in 2021.

Council Member Gordon moved that the City Council approve a donation to the Safe Harbor Crisis Center for \$10,000. Council Member Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter and Van Langeveld.

Council Member Van Langeveld suggested with this donation that this organization should be added to the Health and Wellness Committee agenda for discussion.

4. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-09R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 7 TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT FOR ANIMAL SERVICES WITH DAVIS COUNTY

Ken Leetham reported that the City contracted with Davis County for animal services and that the charge for this year was increasing by 21%. He said that countywide the cities and the county commission had come together to plan a way to have Davis County take over the service next year. The cost would be \$66,376 for 2022. Mr. Leetham said calls for service were 409 calls in 2020/2021 and felt that the County could provide better services than the City could by itself.

Council Member Baskin questioned the \$2,600 for the shelter in the Capital Projects Fund shown in the resolution. Ken Leetham replied this was also part of the assessment that the City would need to pay.

Council Member Baskin asked about the high cost of \$189,000 for the feasibility and operational study for a new facility. Ken Leetham responded it was a high amount but the consulting firm, Citygate Associates, was the only firm who had the capability to do the work. He said the study should be a reliable source to determine the size of the property and facility, and staffing needs.

Council Member Van Langeveld commented that Davis County would no longer be providing wildlife services per this document. Ken Leetham replied the Department of Wildlife Resources (DWR) would handle wildlife.

Council Member Porter moved that the City Council approve Resolution No. 2022-09R: A resolution adopting amendment #7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services with Davis County. Council Member Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter and Van Langeveld.

5. CONSIDERATION OF BID AWARD FOR EAGLEWOOD DRIVE STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT ORCHARD DRIVE TO FOXHILL CIRCLE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$892,184

Paul Ottoson reported the street reconstruction was approved for this year's budget. The project would include Orchard Drive to Foxhill Circle. In addition to the street reconstruction a new storm drain line would also be installed near Fairway Drive and would connect to an existing storm drain line near the tee box area of Hole #14. A new pressure reducing valve (PRV) would also be installed as part of this project. This entire project was scheduled to be completed this year but as work would also be performed on Lacey Way only half the road would be reconstructed this year. He said the road was over 30 years old and showed examples of the cracking. The project would be required to be completed before the Freedom Festival in July. Staff preferred part of the road to remain open during construction so the contractor would work on half of the street at a time.

The City received five bids with Black Forest Paving as the low bidder at \$892,184. They had performed work in the City before and staff was pleased with their work. The cost of the project would be broken into the streets, storm water, and water funds. The original streets budget of \$1,466,000 was for all of Eaglewood Drive from Orchard Drive to Eagleridge Drive. The portion to Eagleridge Drive would be completed next year. The original storm water budget of \$177,700 included a waterfall feature, which would be done by another contractor upon completion of the street reconstruction project.

Council Member Gordon moved that the City Council award the Eaglewood Drive street reconstruction project-Orchard Drive to Foxhill Circle to Black Forest Paving for the price of \$892,184. Council Member Porter seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter and Van Langeveld.

6. CONSIDERATION OF BID AWARD FOR LACEY WAY WATER LINE AND STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,604,253.80

Paul Ottoson reported this project was a water line and street reconstruction project. This project would complete the remaining sections along Lacey Way and Nancy Way. During the last

several years, there had been multiple leaks on this line resulting in extensive damage to the street and homes. In 2021, there were four leaks that caused considerable undermining and sinkholes. Due to the emergency situation, the City hired a contractor and the section of Lacey Way between David Way and Nancy Way had already been fixed. The majority of the new water line would be HDPE pipe, which was installed in long sections thus making it impossible to keep Lacey Way open to traffic. This project would be divided into four phases so the whole street would not be closed during construction. The contractor would be able to close the road to local traffic only and be required to make every effort to allow residents access to their driveways.

The street reconstruction would take approximately a month and would be divided into two phases. The project would not begin until after July 5th with a proposed completion date in October. The City would hold an informational webinar for residents. The City received three bids with Allied Underground Technology as the low bidder at \$1,604,253.80. Funding would come from the Water and Street Funds. The total budget for this project was \$880,000 in the water fund and \$438,000 in the street fund for a total of \$1,318,000. There was currently a shortfall of funding for this project due to material and labor costs skyrocketing, unstable on-site conditions and the need for HDPE pipe, and mil and fill instead of an asphalt patch from Valley View to Marialana.

Ken Leetham commented there was cash in both funds to cover the overages, but a future budget amendment would be needed.

Council Member Baskin moved that the City Council award the Lacey Way water line and street reconstruction project to Allied Underground Technology for the price of \$1,604,253.80. Council Member Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter and Van Langeveld.

7. APPROVE CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 1, 2022

The City Council minutes of February 1, 2022 were reviewed and approved.

Council Member Baskin moved to approve the minutes of February 1, 2022 as amended. Council Member Knowlton seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter and Van Langeveld.

8. ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

9. COUNCIL REPORTS

Council Member Van Langeveld reported that Davis Behavioral Health presented at the Health and Wellness Committee meeting. The Committee would host a six week parenting event starting in April.

Council Member Gordon reported that the Youth City Council (YCC) had reserved 14 spots for the Logan conference in March. She invited Mayor Horrocks and his wife to attend the dinner on March 18th. She also reported on the Super Bowl of Caring and said two large bins were filled with donations.

Council Member Porter reported on the Jordan River Commission and State funding that had been provided for multiple projects.

Council Member Baskin reported that the Senior Lunch Bunch had been cancelled for January and February. She commented that she would be able to attend the next Golf Course Committee meeting in March.

Council Member Knowlton spoke on the Parks and Arts Committee and a related item, which was the Move Utah Summit on March 16-17th. He spoke on COVID and biking and reported bike usage was double what it used to be, 29% of all bike trips were less than a mile, and the National Association of Realtors did a study and found that people wanted great walking and biking in communities.

10. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks reported that South Davis Fire had three engines and one truck in reserve, which were all around 25 years old. He said they planned to retire a nine-year-old truck but the replacement was backordered for over 500 days.

Mayor Horrocks commented he had attended multiple meetings since taking office and said how impressed he was with Davis County overall.

11. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

12. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported that he attended the kickoff meeting for the 1100 North bridge project. He said 38 people attended including a large number of employees from UDOT. Mr. Leetham said

the project would work well with the I-15 reconstruction project. He said staff and the Mayor would continue to try to work with Woods Cross.

Ken Leetham suggested March 26th for the annual budget retreat. The Council members agreed that this date would work for them.

Ken Leetham spoke on the legislative session with a focus on a bill to incentivize retail (HB151). He talked about the need for State legislators to have more local government experience.

Council Member Baskin commented that the Legislative Policy Committee of the Utah League of Cities and Towns had done a good job of tracking the bills that were a concern to local entities. She spoke on HB 151 and how the Legislative Policy Committee was working to protect local retail. She said the State was trying to overreach which was a concern. Council Member Baskin also spoke on changes to agendas and notices for local government meetings and how the legislature had removed the requirement for themselves.

Council Member Porter asked about the City's car show event. Ken Leetham replied there would be a name change and staff was working on possible entrance fees.

13. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 9:32 p.m.

The foregoing was approved by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake on Tuesday March 1, 2022 by unanimous vote of all members present.


Brian J. Horrocks, Mayor


Wendy Page, City Recorder